Minimum Needs Programme in the State Sector. The Central Sector outlay for the Plan has been envisaged at Rs. 1464.22 crores.

Financial crisis in DDA due to Asiad

580. SHRI J. P. GOYAL: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to a news item which appeared in the Indian Express of 13 July, 1986 under the heading 'DDA blames Asiad for financial mess' wherein it has been reported that the DDA has communicated to the Ministry of Urban Development that a total amount of Rs. 76 crores is lying blocked in Asiad properties, apart from flats worth about Rs. 41 crores, which are yet to be disposed of; and

(b) if so, what are the details in this regard?

THE MINITER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI DALBIR SINGH): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The details of the demand of funds made by the DDA in respect of Asiad Properties are given below:—

(Rs. in Crores)

(i) J.G., Stadium Rs. 66.07	
Less grant from G.O.I. Rs. 9 80	56.27
(ii) Venue Hotel Bldg.	11.42
(iii) Dining Hall AGVC	5.90
(iv) Hospital Bldg. AGVC	1 18
(v) Practice Hall AGVC	1 33
(vi) Cycle velodreme AGVC	2.03
Less grant from G.O.I. Rs. 1 22	0.81
(vii) Shooting Range/ Trans it Complex, Tuglakabad. Mehrauli Rd. Etc. Rs. 3.35 Less grant from G.O.I. Rs. 1.13	2.22
TOTAL	79.13

Rs. in crores

(B) *Properties being run on licence fee basis*	
(i) Cultural Centre AGVC	6.80
(ii) Coffee Houses, AGCC	1.05
(C) *To be disposed of through auction*	
(i) Shopping Centre AGVC	0.70
TOTAL	87.68
(D) *Amount outstanding in r/o Asiad Flats*	34.10
GRAND TOTAL	121.78

Amount spent on IRDP and NREP

581. SHRI J. P. GOYAL: Will the Minister of AGRICULTURE be pleased to state:

(a) what is the total amount spent on IRDP and NREP during the Sixth Five Year Plan period;

(b) what is the amount earmarked to be spent on these programmes during the Seventh Five Year Plan period;

(c) whether it is a fact that 50 per cent of the people getting aid under these programmes are not poor;

(d) what is the mode of selection of beneficiaries under these programmes and whether Government guidelines are being followed strictly in this regard; and

(e) what measures Government propose to take to ensure that the benefits of these programmes reach the needy?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI RAMANAND YADAV): (a) An amount of Rs. 1661.17 crores under IRDP and Rs. 1834.25 crores under NREP was spent during Sixth Five Year Plan period.

(b) An allocation of Rs. 2358.81 crores for IRDP and Rs. 2487.47 crores for NREP has been made in the Seventh Plan.

(c) No, Sir. Under IRDP according to the concurrent evaluation studies carried out from October, 1985 to March, 1986, at national level only in 7 per cent of the cases the annual income of the family was more than the prescribed limit of Rs. 4800. Under NREP only those who are poor will generally come forward to do manual labour. Accordingly, NREP guidelines provide that while preparing the shelf of projects, a conscious effort should be made to include those works which benefit the weaker sections of the community.

(d) The procedure under IRDP is given in the enclosed Statement (*See below*) Preference under NREP is to be given to landless labour in employment and amongst landless labour, preference is to be given to Scheduled Castes/Scheduled Tribes for employment.

(e) This aspect is monitored under the concurrent evaluation being carried out in 36 districts and 72 blocks (2 per districts) every month by 29 reputed research/academic organisations. The deficiency in this regard revealed by the study is brought to the notice of the State Governments concerned for necessary corrective action.

Statement

The following procedure has been prescribed under IRDP:

First there will be a survey of all the families seemingly poor in the village. The surveyed families should broadly be categorised into three income groups i.e. upto Rs. 2250, Rs. 2251 to 3500 and Rs. 3501 to Rs. 4800. Thereafter, the following procedure should be adopted for the selection:—

(i) The list of the poorest of the poor families should be prepared by the BLW|Block staff.

(ii) The said list should, then, be placed for approval in the meeting of the Village Assembly (Gram Sabha). This meeting should be called by the Block Development Officer.

(iii) The village Assembly should be attended by the local people, non-officials, block officers and bank officers, prominent voluntary action group etc. should also be associated with these meetings.

(iv) The list of the beneficiaries finally selected in this Village Assembly should be displayed on the notice board of the Village Panchayat and the block office. In case any dispute is raised regarding any name in the final list, it should be decided by the Project Director, Rural Development Agency in consultation with the DDO. This procedure is being followed by and large. According to the concurrent evaluation (October, 85—March, 1986) at the national level about 60 per cent of the beneficiaries ware selected in gram Sabha.

Subletting of Government Accommodation

582. SHRI J. P. GOYAL: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government propose to obtain an affidavit from each allottee of Government quarter to the effect that he has not sublet his quarter, partly or fully;

(b) if so, when and if not, the reasons therefor; and

(c) whether in the absence of such an affidavit door to door survey in respect of each quarter, shall be conducted and if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI DALBIR SINGH): (a) and (b) Sharing of the residence al-